

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION
CORPORATION,

Plaintiff-Applicant,

v.

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT
SECURITIES LLC,

Defendant.

Adv. Pro. No. 08-1789 (BRL)

SIPA Liquidation

(Substantively Consolidated)

In re:

BERNARD L. MADOFF,

Debtor.

**APPLICATION OF SOROKER – AGMON AS SPECIAL COUNSEL
TO THE TRUSTEE FOR ALLOWANCE OF INTERIM
COMPENSATION FOR SERVICES RENDERED AND
REIMBURSEMENT OF ACTUAL AND NECESSARY EXPENSES INCURRED FROM
FEBRUARY 1, 2012 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2012**

Soroker - Agmon (“Soroker”), special counsel for Irving H. Picard (the “Trustee”), Trustee for the liquidation of the business of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC (“BLMIS”) under the Securities Investor Protection Act of 1970, 15 U.S.C. §§ 78aaa *et seq.* (“SIPA”), and Bernard L. Madoff, submits this Application for Interim Allowance of Fees (the “Application”) for compensation of legal fees in the amount of \$425,091.79 (of which 20% is to be deferred through the conclusion of the liquidation period) and reimbursement of expenses in the amount of \$3,445.07 for the period from February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012 (the “Compensation Period”). In support of the Application, Soroker respectfully submits as follows:

I. BACKGROUND

1. On December 15, 2008, Judge Stanton of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, upon application filed on the same date by the Securities Investor Protection Corporation (“SIPC”), entered an order (the “Decree Order”) calling for the liquidation of the business of the Debtor in accordance with SIPA and by Paragraph II of the Decree Order, the Trustee was appointed as the trustee for the liquidation of the Debtor’s business.

2. The Debtor’s liquidation proceeding was removed to this Court pursuant to SIPA § 78eee(b)(4), and, to the extent consistent with the provisions of SIPA, is being conducted as though it were a case under chapters 1, 3, and 5 and subchapters I and II of chapter 7 of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”) pursuant to SIPA § 78fff(b).

3. Beginning on September 20, 2011, Soroker has served as special counsel for the Trustee.

4. On December 7, 2011, this Court entered an order approving the Trustee’s motion for authority to retain Soroker as special counsel to the Trustee in matters pertaining to Israel *nunc pro tunc* to September 20, 2011.

5. The Trustee’s motion to retain Soroker established a fee arrangement pursuant to which Soroker agreed to a fee reduction in the amount of 10%. Soroker also agreed to an additional holdback of fees in the amount of 20%.

II. SERVICES RENDERED BY SOROKER

6. The legal services performed on behalf of the Trustee during the time period covered by this Application are as follows:

7. Soroker provided legal research and advice regarding the liquidation and activities of Yeshaya Horwitz Association, a defendant in actions by the Trustee pending in the U.S. and

Israel. Soroker advised the Trustee and the Trustee's U.S. counsel as to options for enforcement of the Trustee's rights in Israel against the Association. Soroker assisted the Trustee's U.S. counsel with researching and drafting an application moving the Israeli District Court in Jerusalem to transfer the liquidation of the Association to court supervision and to replace the liquidator in place with a court-appointed liquidator (the "Trustee's Application"), anticipated to be filed in July.

COMPENSATION REQUESTED

8. The Application demonstrates how Soroker has both added value to the Debtor's estate and has advanced the Debtor's SIPC liquidation proceeding.

9. Soroker has been mindful of the need to avoid undue legal fees in this case and has taken all reasonable steps to provide cost-effective representation while rendering services with the highest degree of skill and professionalism.

10. From February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012, Soroker provided a total of 1,122.5 hours of legal services to the Trustee in this case. Prior to the 10% discount, the total amount of fees incurred in this time period was \$403,695.90 and the total blended rate for professional services was \$359.64/hour. After applying the 10% discount, the total fees incurred is \$363,326.31 and the total blended rate is \$323.68/hour. Soroker's fees are also subject to a 17% value added tax. After applying the 17% value added tax to the discounted fees in the amount of \$61,765.48, the total fees incurred are \$425,091.79. Soroker has agreed to a further 20% holdback of fees in the amount of \$85,018.35 resulting in the present request for compensation in the amount of \$340,073.44.

11. A breakdown of the total number of hours performed by each Soroker timekeeper is provided on **Exhibit A** annexed hereto.

12. Soroker seeks reimbursement of out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with its representation of the Trustee during the Compensation Period in the amount of \$3,445.07. An itemized list of these expenses is detailed on **Exhibit B** attached hereto.

III. GENERAL MATTERS

13. All of the professional services for which compensation is requested herein were performed by Soroker for and on behalf of the Trustee and not on behalf of any other person or entity.

14. No agreement or understanding exists between Soroker and any other person for sharing compensation received in connection with this case nor has any other person or entity agreed to provide Soroker with compensation for the legal services described herein.

15. Section 78eee(b)(5)(A) of SIPA provides in pertinent part that, upon appropriate application and after a hearing, “[t]he court shall grant reasonable compensation for services rendered and reimbursement for proper costs and expenses incurred . . . by a trustee, and by the attorney for such a trustee.” Section 78eee(b)(5)(C) of SIPA specifically establishes SIPC’s role in connection with applications for compensation and the consideration the Court should give to SIPC’s recommendation concerning fees. That section provides as follows:

In any case in which such allowances are to be paid by SIPC without reasonable expectation of recoupment thereof as provided in this chapter and there is no difference between the amounts requested and the amounts recommended by SIPC, the court shall award the amounts recommended by SIPC. In determining the amount of allowances in all other cases, the court shall give due consideration to the nature, extent, and value of the services rendered, and shall place considerable reliance on the recommendation of SIPC.

SIPA § 78eee(b)(5)(C).

16. To the extent the general estate is insufficient to pay such allowances as an expense of administration, SIPA § 78eee(b)(5)(E) requires SIPC to advance the funds necessary to pay the compensation of Soroker (*see* SIPA § 78fff-3(b)(2)).

17. The Trustee has determined, at this time, that he has no reasonable expectation that the general estate will be sufficient to make a distribution to general creditors or pay administrative expenses. The Trustee has been advised by SIPC that it concurs in this belief of the Trustee. Accordingly, any fees and expenses allowed by this Court will be paid from advances by SIPC without any reasonable expectation by SIPC of recoupment thereof.

18. Therefore, with respect to this Application, Soroker requests that consistent with section 78eee(b)(5)(C) of SIPA, the Court “shall award the amounts recommended by SIPC.” *See In re Bell & Beckwith*, 112 B.R. 876 (Bankr. N.D. Ohio 1990). Soroker expects that SIPC’s recommendation shall be filed with this Court separately.

WHEREFORE, Soroker respectfully requests that this Court enter an Order:

- a. Granting this Application; and
- b. Allowing and awarding \$425,091.79, of which \$340,073.44 is to be paid currently and \$85,018.35 is to be held back through the conclusion of the liquidation period or until further order of the Court, as an interim payment for professional services rendered by Soroker to the Trustee from February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012; and
- c. Allowing payment to Soroker in the amount of \$3,445.07 for reimbursement of expenses incurred by Soroker from February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012; and

d. Granting Soroker such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: November 28, 2012

Soroker-Agmon

By: s/ Jonathan Agmon
Jonathan Agmon
Nolton House
14 Shenkar Street
P.O.B. 12425 Herzliya 46725
Israel
Telephone: +972-9-950-7000

EXHIBIT A

SUMMARY OF INTERIM FEE APPLICATION
OF SOROKER - AGMON FOR SERVICES RENDERED
FOR THE PERIOD FEBRUARY 1, 2012 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2012

	HOURLY RATE	TOTAL HOURS BILLED	TOTAL COMPENSATION
Jonathan Agmon	\$445.00	332.9	\$148,140.50
Ady Nordman	\$445.00	111.1	\$49,439.50
Eran Soroker	\$382.00	24.3	\$9,282.60
Hagar Raved	\$382.00	122.9	\$46,947.80
Ehud Chaushu	\$286.00	3.9	\$1,115.40
Raanan Ben- Ishay	\$286.00	477.7	\$136,622.20
Tzvi Selka	\$286.00	27.6	\$7,893.60
Hila Moran Cherny	\$286.00	8.1	\$2,316.60
Tahila Druckman- Kremer	\$165.00	6.7	\$1,105.50
Don Cymerblit	\$114.00	7.3	\$832.20
Total:	\$359.64	1,122.50	\$403,695.90
Total minus 10% Discount	\$323.68		\$363,326.31
Total Plus Value Added Tax (17%)			\$425,091.79
Total Net of 20% Holdback:			\$340,073.44

EXHIBIT B

EXPENSE SUMMARY OF SOROKER - AGMON
FOR THE INTERIM PERIOD
OF FEBRUARY 1, 2012 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2012

EXPENSES	AMOUNTS
Translation ¹	\$2,399.63
Courier ²	\$50.00
Court Fees	\$555.00
Land Registry Fees	\$6
Search Fees	\$18.00
Total	\$3,028.63
Value Added Tax	\$416.44
Total Requested:	\$3,445.07

¹ & ² Subject to the 17% Value Added Tax